*The Properties of the Unitary Fermi* Gas at Finite Temperatures – *Quantum Monte Quantum Monte Carlo approach approach*



#### **Piotr Magierski**

#### **Warsaw University of Technology/University of Washington**

**Collaborators**

**Collaborators: Aurel Bulgac – University of Washington (Seattle),** 

**Joaquin E. Drut – Ohio State University (Columbus),** 

**Gabriel Wlazłowski (PhD student) – Warsaw University of Technology**

## **Scattering at low energies** (s-wave scattering)







 $\int_0^2 k^2$  ,  $\int_0^2 k^2$  is sequently religion,  $I_0$  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{a} + \frac{1}{2}r_0k^2}$ , a - scattering length,  $r_0$  - effective range  $f =$  **a a a a a a a a a**  $ik - \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r}r_0k$ *a*=  $-lk-1/+$ 

If  $k \rightarrow 0$  then the interaction is determined by the scattering length alone.

$$
E_{FG} = 1 + \frac{10}{9\pi} (k_F a) \left[ 1 + \frac{6}{35\pi} (k_F a) (11 - 2ln2) + \dots \right] + \text{pairing}
$$

**Perturbationseries**

 $\frac{3}{5}\varepsilon_F N$  - Energy of the noninteracting Fermi gas  $E_{FG} = -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_F N$ 

# ¾

**What is the unitary regime?**<br>A gas of interacting fermions is in the unitary regime if the average separation between particles is large compared to their size (range of interaction), but small compared to their scattering length.

$\ln r_0^3 \ll 1$	$\ln  a ^3 \gg 1$	$\frac{n - particle density}{a - scattering length}$
<i>i.e.</i> $r_0 \rightarrow 0$ , $a \rightarrow \pm \infty$	NONPERTURBATIVE REGIME	
<b>UNIVERSALITY:</b> $E = \xi_0 E_{FG}$	NONPERTURBATIVE	
<b>AT FINITE</b>	$E(T) = \xi \left(\frac{T}{\varepsilon_F}\right) E_{FG}$ , $\xi(0) = \xi_0$	



In dilute atomic systems experimenters can control nowadays almost anything:

- The number of atoms in the trap: typically about 10<sup>5-106</sup> atoms divided 50-50 among the lowest two hyperfine states.
- The density of atoms
- Mixtures of various atoms
- The temperature of the atomic cloud
- **The strength of this inte The strength of this interaction is fully tunable! raction is fully tunable!**



*Who does experiments? Who does experiments?* • **Jin's group at Boulder Jin's group at Boulder**  • **Grimm's group in Innsbruck Grimm's group in Innsbruck** • **Thomas' group at Duke Thomas' group at Duke** • **Ketterle's Ketterle's group at MIT group at MIT**  • **Salomon's group in Paris Salomon's group in Paris** • **Hulet's Hulet's group at Rice p at Rice**

## **One fermionic fermionic atom in magnetic field atom in magnetic field**



**Collision Collision of two atoms: At low energies (low density of atoms) only L=0 (s-wave) scattering is effective.**

- **Due to the high diluteness atoms in the same hyperfine Due to the high diluteness atoms in the same hyperfine state do not interact with one another state do not interact with one another.**
- Atoms in different hyperfine states experience interactions **only in s-wave.**



## One open channel with one resonant bound state (s-wave scattering)

$$
S(k) = S^{bg}(k) \left( 1 - \frac{2ik|g|^2}{-\frac{4\pi\hbar^2}{m} \left( \nu - \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{m} \right) + ik|g|^2} \right)
$$
  

$$
S^{bg}(k) = e^{-2ika_{bg}}, \quad v = \varepsilon_b - \varepsilon_0, \quad |g|^2 = \left| \left( \chi^+ |V^{hf}| \phi_b \right) \right|^2 / k
$$

**A.J. Moerdijk et al. Phys. Rev. A51 (1995)4852**

$$
S^{(k)}(k) = e^{k}, \quad V = E_b - E_0, \quad |g| = |\chi|V^{(k)}|\varphi_b
$$
\n
$$
S^{(k)}(k) = e^{k}, \quad V = E_b - E_0, \quad |g| = |\chi|V^{(k)}|\varphi_b
$$
\n
$$
S^{(k)}(k) = e^{k}, \quad V = E_b - E_0, \quad |g| = |\chi|V^{(k)}|\varphi_b
$$
\n
$$
S^{(k)}(k) = e^{k}
$$
\n
$$
S^{(k)}(k) =
$$

$$
a = a_{bg} - \frac{1}{4\pi\hbar^2} \frac{1}{\nu}
$$
  

$$
v \sim B \implies a = a_{bg} \left(1 - \frac{\Delta B}{B - B_0}\right)
$$



Regal and Jin, PRL 90, 230404 (2003)

## **Evidence Evidence for fermionic fermionic superfluidity: vortices!**



**Numerical simulations: see movies at www.phys.washington.edu/groups/qmbnt/vortices\_movies.html**

### **Coordinate Coordinate**



#### **e** space  $\begin{aligned} \textbf{V}olume = L^3 \end{aligned}$  $lattice$   $spacing = \Delta x$ =

- **- Spin up fermion**
	- **- Spin down fermion**

#### **External conditions:**

- tem p <sup>e</sup> ra tu re *T*
- $\mu$  -chemical potential





#### **Momentum Momentum space**



$$
\hat{H} = \hat{T} + \hat{V} = \int d^3r \sum_{s=\hat{T}\downarrow} \hat{\psi}_s^{\dagger}(\vec{r}) \left( -\frac{\hbar^2 \Delta}{2m} \right) \hat{\psi}_s(\vec{r}) - g \int d^3r \hat{n}_{\uparrow}(\vec{r}) \hat{n}_{\downarrow}(\vec{r})
$$

$$
\hat{N} = \int d^3r \left( \hat{n}_{\uparrow}(\vec{r}) + \hat{n}_{\downarrow}(\vec{r}) \right); \quad \hat{n}_s(\vec{r}) = \hat{\psi}_s^{\dagger}(\vec{r}) \hat{\psi}_s(\vec{r})
$$

$$
\frac{1}{g} = -\frac{m}{4\pi\hbar^2 a} + \frac{mk_{cut}}{2\pi^2 \hbar^2} \left\{ \frac{\text{Running coupling constant g defined by lattice}}{\frac{1}{2m}} \right\}
$$

 $g = 2\pi\hbar^2\Delta x$ 

Trotter expansion (trotterization of the propagator)

$$
Z(\beta) = \text{Tr} \exp\left[-\beta \left(\hat{H} - \mu \hat{N}\right)\right] = \text{Tr} \left\{ \exp\left[-\tau \left(\hat{H} - \mu \hat{N}\right)\right] \right\}^{N_{\text{r}}}, \quad \beta = \frac{1}{T} = N_{\text{r}} \tau
$$

=

$$
E(T) = \frac{1}{Z(T)} \text{Tr } \hat{H} \exp\left[-\beta \left(\hat{H} - \mu \hat{N}\right)\right]
$$

$$
N(T) = \frac{1}{Z(T)} \text{Tr } \hat{N} \exp\left[-\beta \left(\hat{H} - \mu \hat{N}\right)\right]
$$

## **More details of the calculations: More details of the calculations:**

- Lattice sizes used:  $6^3 10^3$ . **Imaginary Imaginarytime steps: 83 x 300 (high Ts) to (high Ts) to 83 x 1800 (low Ts) (low Ts)**
- **Effective use of FFT(W) makes all imaginary time propagators diagonal (either in real space or momentum space) and there is no need to store large matrices.**
- **Update field configurations using the Metropolis importance sampling algorithm.**
- **Change randomly at a fraction of all space and time sites the signs the auxiliary fields σ ( r,τ) so as to maintain a running average of the acceptance rate bet ) so as to maintain a running average of the acceptance rate between**  $0.4$  and  $0.6$ .
- **Thermalize Thermalize for 50,000 for 50,000 – 100,000 MC steps or/and use as a start 100,000 MC steps or/and use as a start-up field configuration a σ(x,τ)-field configuration from a different T**
- At low temperatures use Singular Value Decomposition of the evolution operator  $U({\{\sigma\}})$  to stabilize the numerics.
- **Use 200,000 -2,000,000 2,000,000 <sup>σ</sup>(x, τ ) - field configurations for calculations field configurations for calculations**
- $\bullet$  MC correlation "time"  $\approx$  250 300 time steps at T  $\approx$  T<sub>c</sub>

### **Deviation Deviation from Normal Fermi Normal Fermi Gas**

**a = ±∞**



$$
\rho_{2}(\vec{r}_{1}, \vec{r}_{2}, \vec{r}_{3}, \vec{r}_{4}) = \left\langle \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}_{1}) \hat{\psi}^{\dagger}(\vec{r}_{2}) \hat{\psi}_{\downarrow}(\vec{r}_{4}) \hat{\psi}_{\uparrow}(\vec{r}_{3}) \right\rangle
$$
\n
$$
\rho_{2}^{P}(\vec{r}) = \frac{2}{N} \int d^{3}r_{1} d^{3}r_{2} \rho_{2}(\vec{r}_{1} + \vec{r}, \vec{r}_{2} + \vec{r}, \vec{r}_{1}, \vec{r}_{2})
$$
\n
$$
\lim_{r \to \infty} \rho_{2}^{P}(\vec{r}) = \alpha - \text{condensate fraction}
$$



Bulgac, Drut, and Magierski, arXiv:0803:3238



**Thermodynamics of the unitary Fermi gas** 

**ENERGY:** 
$$
E(x) = \frac{3}{5} \xi(x) \varepsilon_F N; \quad x = \frac{T}{\varepsilon_F}
$$

$$
C_V = T \frac{\partial S}{\partial T} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial T} = \frac{3}{5} N \xi'(x) \Rightarrow S(x) = \frac{3}{5} N \int_0^x \frac{\xi'(y)}{y} dy
$$
  
ENTROPY/PARTICLE:  $\sigma(x) = \frac{S(x)}{N} = \frac{3}{5} \int_0^x \frac{\xi'(y)}{y} dy$ 

FREE ENERGY: 
$$
F = E - TS = \frac{3}{5}\varphi(x)\varepsilon_F N
$$

\n
$$
\varphi(x) = \xi(x) - x\sigma(x)
$$
\nPRESSURE:  $P = -\frac{\partial E}{\partial V} = \frac{2}{5}\xi(x)\varepsilon_F \frac{N}{V}$ 

\n
$$
PV = \frac{2}{3}E
$$
\nNote the similarity to the ideal Fermi gas

**Low temperature temperature behaviour behaviour of a Fermi gas in the unitary unitary regime**

$$
F(T) = \frac{3}{5} \varepsilon_F N \varphi \left( \frac{T}{\varepsilon_F} \right) = E - TS \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\mu(T)}{\varepsilon_F} \approx \xi_s \approx 0.41(2) \text{ for } T < T_c
$$

$$
\mu(T) = \frac{dF(T)}{dN} = \varepsilon_F \left[ \varphi \left( \frac{T}{\varepsilon_F} \right) - \frac{2}{5} \frac{T}{\varepsilon_F} \varphi' \left( \frac{T}{\varepsilon_F} \right) \right] \approx \varepsilon_F \xi_s
$$

$$
\pmb{\varphi}\Bigg(\dfrac{T}{\mathcal{E}_F}\Bigg) = \pmb{\varphi}_0 + \pmb{\varphi}_1 \Bigg(\dfrac{T}{\mathcal{E}_F}\Bigg)^{\!5/2}
$$

$$
E(T) = \frac{3}{5} \varepsilon_F N \left[ \xi_s + \zeta_s \left( \frac{T}{\varepsilon_F} \right)^n \right]
$$

**Lattice results disfavor Lattice results disfavor either n≥3 or n≤2 and suggest n=2.5(0.25)** 

**This is the same behavior as for a gas of This is the same behavior as for a gas of noninteracting noninteracting (!) bosons below (!) bosons below the condensation temperature. the condensation temperature.**

## **Experiment Experiment**

John Thomas' group at Duke University, **L.Luo, et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 080402, (2007)**

Dilute system of fermionic <sup>6</sup>Li atoms in a harmonic trap

- The number of atoms in the trap:  $N=1.3(0.2)$  x  $10^5$  atoms divided 50-50 among the lowest two hyperfine states.
- Fermi energy:  $\varepsilon_F^{ho} = \hbar \Omega(3N)^{1/3}$ ;  $\Omega = \left(\omega_x \omega_y \omega_z\right)^{1/3}$  $\varepsilon_{\rm F}^{\prime\prime\prime\prime} = \hbar \Omega(3N)^{1/3}; \ \ \Omega = \omega_{\rm F} \omega_{\rm F} \omega_{\rm F} \omega_{\rm F}$

 $/k_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathrm{D}}\thickapprox$   $1$  $\varepsilon_{F}^{ho}/k_{B} \thickapprox 1 \mu K$ 

- Depth of the potential:  $U_0 \approx 10 \varepsilon_F^{ho}$
- How they measure: energy, entropy and temperature?

$$
PV = \frac{2}{3}E
$$
  
\n
$$
\vec{\nabla}P = -n(\vec{r})\vec{\nabla}U
$$
\n
$$
n(\vec{r}) - \text{local density}
$$
\n
$$
P = -n(\vec{r})\vec{\nabla}U
$$

•For the weakly interacting gas ( $B\!=\!1200G\!\Rightarrow\!1/k_{_F} a\!\approx\!-0.75) \,$  the energy and entropy is calculated. In this limit one can use Thomas-Fermi approach to relate the energy to the given density distribution. The entropy can be estimated as for the noninteracting system with 1% accuracy. In practice:  $\left/ \right.^{}_{\sigma}$ 2  $z^2$   $\rightarrow E,S$ ⇒

•The magnetic field is changed adiabatically (*S=const*.) to the value corresponding to the unitary limit:  $B = 840G \Rightarrow 1/\, k_{\scriptscriptstyle F}^{} \, a \approx 0$ •Relative energy in the unitary limit is calculated from virial theorem:

=

 $B = 1200$  ,  $\overline{a}$ ,  $\overline{b}$ 

$$
\frac{E(T_1)}{E(T_2)} = \frac{\langle z^2 \rangle_{T_1}}{\langle z^2 \rangle_{T_2}}
$$

 $T$   $\partial E$ 

 $=\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$ 

•Temperature is calculated from the identity:

**Theory: local density approximation (LDA)** 

Nonuniformsystem *(gradient correctionsneglected)*

$$
\underbrace{\text{Uniform}}_{\text{system}} \qquad \qquad \Omega = F - \lambda N = \frac{3}{5} \varphi(x) \varepsilon_F N - \lambda N
$$

$$
\Omega = \int d^3r \left[ \frac{3}{5} \varepsilon_F(\vec{r}) \varphi(x(\vec{r})) + U(\vec{r}) - \lambda \right] n(\vec{r})
$$

$$
x(\vec{r}) = \frac{T}{\varepsilon_F(\vec{r})}; \quad \varepsilon_F(\vec{r}) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left[ 3\pi^2 n(\vec{r}) \right]^{2/3}
$$

 $\mathcal{E}_F$ 

 $\rightarrow$ 

The overall chemical potential  $~\lambda~\,$  and the temperature  $\tau$  are constant throughout the system. The density profile will depend on the shape of the trap as dictated by:

*r m*

$$
\frac{\delta\Omega}{\delta n(\vec{r})} = \frac{\delta(F - \lambda N)}{\delta n(\vec{r})} = \mu(x(\vec{r})) + U(r) - \lambda = 0
$$

**Using as an input the Monte Carlo results for the uniform system and experimental data (trapping potential, number of particles), we determine the density profiles.**

#### **Comparison Comparison with experiment experiment** John Thomas' group at Duke University, **L.Luo, et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 080402, (2007)**



**Entropy as a function of energy (relative to the ground state) for the unitary Fermi gas in the harmonic trap.** 

**Bulgac, Drut, and Magierski** 

PRL <u>99,</u> 120401 (2007<mark>)</mark>

Theory:

**Ratio of the mean square cloud size at B=1200G to its value at unitarity (B=840G) as a function of the energy. Experimental data are denoted by point with error bars.**

$$
B = 1200G \Longrightarrow 1/k_F a \approx -0.75
$$



**Results in the vicinity of the unitary limit:** -Critical temperature -Pairing gap at T=0

#### Note that

- at unitarity:

 $\Delta/\,\varepsilon_{\!F}^{} \approx 0.5$ 

- for atomic nucleus: $\Delta/\varepsilon_{\!F}^{} \!\approx\! 0.03$ 

BCS theory predicts:  $\Delta (T\!=\!0) / T_C \thickapprox\!1.7$ 

At unitarity:  $\Delta ( T = 0 ) / T_C \approx 3.3$ 

**This is NOT a BCS superfluid superfluid!**

**Bulgac, Drut, Magierski, PRA78, 023625(2008) A78, 023625(2008)**

### Pairing gap



#### Pairing gap and pseudogap

Outside the BCS regime close to the unitary limit, but still before BEC, superconductivity/superfluidity emerge out of a very exotic, non-Fermi liquid normal state



#### Single-particle properties



Quasiparticle spectrum extracted from spectral weight function at  $\ T\!=\!0.1 \varepsilon_F^{}$ 

Fixed node MC calcs. at T=0

 $m^*$  = (1.0 $\pm$ 0.2) $m$  $U = (-0.5 \pm 0.2) \varepsilon_F$ Effective mass: Mean-field potential: Weak temperature dependence!





**Parameters Parameters (effective mass, mean-field potential potential, pairing pairing gap) extracted extracted from the** response function within the <u>independent quasiparticle model</u> accurately reproduce<br>!results obtained directly from the spectral weight function below the critical temperature

## **Conclusions Conclusions**

- $\checkmark$ **Fully non-perturbative calculations for a spin**  $\frac{1}{2}$  **many fermion system in the unitary regime at finite temperatures are feasible system in the unitary regime at finite temperatures are feasible and apparently the system undergoes a phase transition in the bulk at**  $T_\mathrm{c}$  = 0.15 (1)  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_\mathrm{F}$  .
- $\checkmark$  $\checkmark$  **Between**  $\mathbf{T_c}$  and  $\mathbf{T_0}$  =0.23(2)  $\boldsymbol{\epsilon_{\text{F}}}$  the system is <u>neither <code>superfluid</code> nor</u> **follows follows the normal Fermi gas behavior behavior. Possibly Possibly due to pairing pairing effects effects.**
- $\checkmark$  **Results Results (energy, entropy entropy vs temperature temperature) agree with recent measurments measurments: L. Luo et al., PRL 98, 080402 (2007) et al., PRL 98, 080402 (2007)**
- $\checkmark$  **The** system at unitarity is NOT a BCS superfluid. There is an evidence for the existence of *pseudogap* at unitarity (similarity with high-Tc supeconductors).
- $\checkmark$ **Description of the system at finite temperatures will pose a challenge for the density functional functional theory (two temperature temperature scales are presen<sup>t</sup> present).**
- $\checkmark$ Surprisingly at low temperatures the gap extracted from the response function <u>within the independent quasiparticle model</u> accurately reproduce the one obtained **from the spectral spectral weight function function.**