Pairing properties of a Fermi gas with infinite scattering length



Piotr Magierski

Warsaw University of Technology/University of Washington

<u>Collaborators:</u> Aurel Bulgac – University of Washington (Seattle), Joaquin E. Drut – Ohio State University (Columbus), Gabriel Wlazłowski (PhD student) – Warsaw University of Technology

$$\frac{E}{E_{FG}} = 1 + \frac{10}{9\pi} (k_F a) \left[1 + \frac{6}{35\pi} (k_F a) (11 - 2ln2) + \dots \right] + \text{pairing}$$

 $E_{FG} = \frac{3}{5} \varepsilon_F N$ - Energy of the noninteracting Fermi gas

A gas of interacting fermions is in the unitary regime if the average separation between particles is large compared to their size (range of interaction), but small compared to their scattering length.

Perturbation

series

n
$$r_0^3 \ll 1$$
 n $|a|^3 \gg 1$
i.e. $r_0 \rightarrow 0, a \rightarrow \pm \infty$
i.e. $r_0 \rightarrow \pm \infty$
i.e.

Dilute neutron matter:

Effective range: $r_0 \approx 2.8$ fm Scattering length: $a \approx -18.5$ fm

Unitary gas:

Effective range: $r_0 \approx 0$ Scattering length: $a \approx \pm \infty$ Physical realization eg.: dilute gas of ⁶Li atoms



Deviation from Normal Fermi Gas

<u>કા =</u>



Low temperature behaviour of a Fermi gas in the unitary regime

$$F(T) = \frac{3}{5} \varepsilon_F N \varphi \left(\frac{T}{\varepsilon_F}\right) = E - TS \text{ and } \frac{\mu(T)}{\varepsilon_F} \approx \xi_s \approx 0.41(2) \text{ for } T < T_C$$

$$\mu(T) = \frac{dF(T)}{dN} = \varepsilon_F \left[\varphi\left(\frac{T}{\varepsilon_F}\right) - \frac{2}{5} \frac{T}{\varepsilon_F} \varphi'\left(\frac{T}{\varepsilon_F}\right) \right] \approx \varepsilon_F \xi_s$$

$$\varphi\left(\frac{T}{\varepsilon_F}\right) = \varphi_0 + \varphi_1\left(\frac{T}{\varepsilon_F}\right)^{5/2}$$

$$E(T) = \frac{3}{5} \varepsilon_F N \left[\xi_s + \zeta_s \left(\frac{T}{\varepsilon_F} \right)^n \right]$$

Lattice results disfavor either n≥3 or n≤2 and suggest n=2.5(0.25)

This is the same behavior as for a gas of <u>noninteracting</u> (!) bosons below the condensation temperature.

Experiment

John Thomas' group at Duke University, L.Luo, et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 080402, (2007)

Dilute system of fermionic ${}^{6}Li$ atoms in a harmonic trap

- The number of atoms in the trap: N=1.3(0.2) x 10⁵ atoms divided 50-50 among the lowest two hyperfine states.
- Fermi energy: $\varepsilon_F^{ho} = \hbar \Omega (3N)^{1/3}; \ \Omega = \left(\omega_x \omega_y \omega_z\right)^{1/3}$

 $\varepsilon_F^{ho} / k_B \approx 1 \mu K$

- Depth of the potential: $U_0 \approx 10 \varepsilon_F^{ho}$
- How they measure: energy, entropy and temperature?

$$PV = \frac{2}{3}E$$

$$\Rightarrow N\langle U \rangle = \frac{E}{2} - \text{virial theorem}$$

$$\vec{\nabla}P = -n(\vec{r})\vec{\nabla}U$$

$$\text{Holds at unitarity and for noninteracting Fermi gas}$$

<u>Comparison with experiment</u> John Thomas' group at Duke University, L.Luo, et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 080402, (2007)



Entropy as a function of energy (relative to the ground state) for the unitary Fermi gas in the harmonic trap.

Bulgac, Drut, and Magierski

RL <u>99,</u> 120401 (<u>2007)</u>

Theory:

Ratio of the mean square cloud size at B=1200G to its value at unitarity (B=840G) as a function of the energy. Experimental data are denoted by point with error bars.

 $B = 1200G \Longrightarrow 1/k_F a \approx -0.75$

Pairing gap





Results in the vicinity of the unitary limit: -Critical temperature -Pairing gap at T=0

<u>Note that</u>

- at unitarity:

 $\Delta/\varepsilon_F \approx 0.5$

- for atomic nucleus: $\Delta/\,\mathcal{E}_{F}\,{mcepsul{cepsilon}}\,0.03$

BCS theory predicts: $\Delta(T=0)/T_C \approx 1.7$

At unitarity: $\Delta(T=0)/T_C \approx 3.3$

This is NOT a BCS superfluid!

Bulgac, Drut, Magierski, PRA78, 023625(2008)

Pairing gap and pseudogap

Outside the BCS regime close to the unitary limit, but still before BEC, superconductivity/superfluidity emerge out of a very exotic, non-Fermi liquid normal state



Single-particle properties



Quasiparticle spectrum extracted from spectral weight function at $T = 0.1 \varepsilon_F$

Fixed node MC calcs. at T=0

Effective mass: $m^* = (1.0 \pm 0.2)m$ Mean-field potential: $U = (-0.5 \pm 0.2)\varepsilon_F$ Weak temperature dependence!



Conclusions

- Fully non-perturbative calculations for a spin ½ many fermion system in the unitary regime at finite temperatures are feasible and apparently the system undergoes a phase transition in the bulk at $T_{\rm c} = 0.15$ (1) $\varepsilon_{\rm F}$.
- ✓ Between T_c and $T_0 = 0.23(2) \epsilon_F$ the system is <u>neither</u> superfluid nor follows the normal Fermi gas behavior. Possibly due to pairing effects.
- Results (energy, entropy vs temperature) agree with recent measurments: L. Luo et al., PRL 98, 080402 (2007)
- ✓ The system at unitarity is NOT a BCS superfluid. There is an evidence for the existence of <u>pseudogap</u> at unitarity (similarity with high-Tc supeconductors).
- ✓ Description of the system at finite temperatures will pose a challenge for the density functional theory (two temperature scales are present).
- Surprisingly at low temperatures the gap extracted from the response function within the <u>independent quasiparticle model</u> accurately reproduce the one obtained from the spectral weight function.

Superconductivity and superfluidity in Fermi systems

20 orders of magnitude over a century of (low temperature) physics

 $T_c \approx 10^{-12} - 10^{-9} eV$ ✓ Dilute atomic Fermi gases $T_c \approx 10^{-7} eV$ ✓ Liquid ³He $T_{c} \approx 10^{-3} - 10^{-2} eV$ ✓ Metals, composite materials $T_{c} \approx 10^{5} - 10^{6} \, eV$ ✓ Nuclei, neutron stars QCD color superconductivity $T_{c} \approx 10^{7} - 10^{8} \, eV$

units (1 eV \approx 10⁴ K)

More details of the calculations:

- Lattice sizes used: 6³ 10³.
 Imaginary time steps: <u>8³ x 300</u> (high Ts) to <u>8³ x 1800</u> (low Ts)
- Effective use of FFT(W) makes all imaginary time propagators diagonal (either in real space or momentum space) and there is no need to store large matrices.
- Update field configurations using the Metropolis importance sampling algorithm.
- Change randomly at a fraction of all space and time sites the signs the auxiliary fields $\sigma(r,\tau)$ so as to maintain a running average of the acceptance rate between 0.4 and 0.6.
- Thermalize for 50,000 100,000 MC steps or/and use as a start-up field configuration a $\sigma(x,\tau)$ -field configuration from a different T
- At low temperatures use Singular Value Decomposition of the evolution operator $U(\{\sigma\})$ to stabilize the numerics.
- Use 200,000-2,000,000 $\sigma(x,\tau)$ field configurations for calculations
- MC correlation "time" $\approx 250 300$ time steps at T $\approx T_e$